## GELATO: a Generic Event-Level Anomalous Trigger Option for ATLAS

Max Cohen on behalf of ATLAS









#### Introduction

- Anomaly Detection (AD) has continued to gain popularity in the ATLAS experiment in the search for new physics
- It remains a possibility that such new physics evades the standard set of triggers



• In parallel, extensive developments in *fast* ML tools have made additional real-world applications possible



**Algorithm Details** 

**Overview** 

## **GELATO Trigger Pipeline**

#### **GELATO L1**

- VAE GAN architecture
- 44 input features: (p<sub>T</sub>, η, φ) from 15 objects (6 jets, 4 taus, 4 muons, MET)
- Quantized, pruned, and chopped to fit in 25 ns inference time
- Commissioned to run on L1 backend FPGA
- Uses 26k out of ~700k active LUTs, 3 out of ~1.3k DSPs
- Two WPs: **T** (physics) and **L** (control) based on anomaly score Threshold

**Overview** 

**GELATO HLT** 

- AE architecture
- 47 input features: (p<sub>T</sub>, η, φ) from 16 objects (6 jets, 3 electrons, 3 muons, 3 photons, MET)
- Only uses jets above 50 GeV, electrons, muons, photons above 30 GeV
  - Only runs on events passing GELATO L1
  - Three WPs: **T** (fallback), **M** (physics), **L** (control) based on anomaly score threshold

#### 40 MHz



Algorithm Details

1 kHz

unique

(target)

10 Hz

unique

(target)

#### Implementation

The HLT network uses the Autoencoder (AE) architecture with mean squared error (MSE) loss

**Algorithm Details** 

- Loss = masked MSE
  - Like standard MSE, but does not take zero values into account
- AD Score = masked MSE
- Layer sizes =  $47 \rightarrow 100 \rightarrow 100$  $\rightarrow 64 \rightarrow 32 \rightarrow 4$

Overview





## Implementation

The L1 network uses a VAE Generative Adversarial Network (GAN) architecture

- Discriminator attempts to distinguish inputs and outputs
- VAE attempts to trick the discriminator (adversarial loss)
- Loss\_VAE = MSE +  $\beta^*$ KL +  $\gamma^*$ ADV
- AD Score = clipped KL
  - Clipped KL =  $\mu^2 1 + \sigma^2 \log(\sigma^2)$



Layer sizes:  $44 \rightarrow 32 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 3$ 

Overview



#### **During Training**

Performance

**Algorithm Details** 

#### Implementation

The L1 network uses a VAE Generative Adversarial Network (GAN) architecture

- Discriminator attempts to distinguish inputs and outputs
- VAE attempts to trick the discriminator (adversarial loss)
- Loss\_VAE = MSE +  $\beta^*$ KL +  $\gamma^*$ ADV
- AD Score = clipped KL
  - Clipped KL =  $\mu^2 1 + \sigma^2 \log(\sigma^2)$

Overview



Layer sizes:  $44 \rightarrow 32 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 3$ 



#### When Implemented [1]

Performance

**Algorithm Details** 

## **Training and Testing Data**

Both the L1 and HLT networks are trained using Enhanced Bias (EB) data:

- EB data is collected via a minimal set of triggers spanning all energies with known prescales
- Event weights are applied to correct for these prescales and restore an unbiased spectrum
- EB therefore retains an unbiased spectrum for estimating rates while also containing more rare events to help reduce uncertainties

**Evaluation datasets:** 

- $HNL \rightarrow e^{\pm} \mu^{\mp} \nu, \ m = 7.5 \,\text{GeV}, \ c\tau = 1 \,\text{mm}$
- HAHM (ggF):  $h \to Z_d Z_d \to 2\ell 2\nu, \ m_{Z_d} = 28 \,\mathrm{GeV}$
- ggF:  $h \to \text{SUEP} \to \text{full-had}$
- VBF  $h \to a \, a \to 4b, \ m_a = 55 \,\text{GeV}, \ \tau_a = 1 \,\text{ns}$
- $Z \to \nu \bar{\nu}$  (b filter)
- ggF:  $h \to a a \to 4b$ ,  $m_a = 16 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $\tau_a = 10 \text{ ns}$

**Overview** 

- We use a broad selection of signal models to exhibit the model independent nature of AD.

- Prioritized cases where standard ATLAS triggers struggle



• VBF  $h \to 4b$ 

#### Simulation, Firmware, and Validation

- GELATO L1 converted to HLS using hls4ml[1], synthesized with Vitis
- GELATO HLT network converted to ONNX model for use in HLT CPUs
- Trigger decisions must be reproducible in Athena (ATLAS software framework) for MC simulations + monitoring
  - Athena simulations were built for both algorithms
  - Exact agreement between hardware and simulation (up to sorting ambiguities) for GELATO L1!
  - Simulated HLT AD scores have been successfully verified against offline calculations



## **Commissioning Strategy in 2025**

- A gradual ramp up commissioning sequence was decided with experts to ensure safe deployment
- Early LHC ramp-up: 🗹
  - GELATO L1 decisions monitored but not used for actual trigger decision
- First stable beams: 🗹
  - Use GELATO L1 for trigger decisions, but use a pass-through HLT chain (1 Hz prescaled rate)
  - $\circ \quad \text{No GELATO HLT activation yet}$
- Soon:
  - Enable GELATO L1 at a reduced rate (10 Hz). Activate GELATO HLT at 1 Hz to study trigger costs + rejection
- Soon:
  - Once everything is fully understood and operations look stable, enable GELATO chain at full capacity



## **Rate Monitoring**

- Rates of GELATO L1 (L1\_ADVAEL) compared to that of L1\_MU14FCH (14 GeV single muon trigger) and L1\_4jJ40 (40 GeV 4-jet trigger)
- All triggers exhibit a small uptick at 120 minutes, which can be attributed to a slight increase in the luminosity rather than an issue with GELATO itself
- The stable 9.5 kHz total rate is consistent with our calculations for 1 kHz unique rate



https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/CombinedTriggerPublicResults



#### **Algorithm Details**

#### Physics Performance – L1

- ROC curves for GELATO L1 for each MC test signal
- All MC signals lie above the random guess line, indicating discrimination power across a wide variety of signatures
- In our calculations, 1 kHz corresponds to an FPR of  $3.7 \times 10^{-4}$



https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/CombinedTriggerPublicResults



### **Physics Performance – HLT**

- ROC curves for GELATO HLT for each MC test signal
- Only events passing GELATO L1 were used in this plot
- All MC signals lie above the random guess line, indicating discrimination power across a wide variety of signatures

Overview

• In our calculations, 10 Hz corresponds to an FPR of



 $9.5 \times 10^{-3}$ 



https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/CombinedTriggerPublicResults

# True Positive Rate

### First Look at 2025 Data

- Event display of a GELATO L1 fired event with the highest GELATO HLT AD score during May 2025 at 13.6 TeV.
- Four jets above 50 GeV, three electrons and one muon above 30 GeV, and 215 GeV of MET
- We're excited to study unique events once the full chain becomes enabled!



https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/CombinedTriggerPublicResults



#### **Algorithm Details**

#### Summary and Next Steps

- Two Autoencoder-based AD trigger algorithms have been added to ATLAS for 2025 data taking at L1 and HLT
  - Very exciting to see the first use of AD triggers in ATLAS!!
- The GELATO chain is sensitive to a variety of physics signatures with different final states
- We are in the process of ramping up operations of these triggers to full capacity
  - Closely monitoring rates and performance
  - Things look good so far, and we're excited to move forward!
- We've started exploring ideas for the physics analysis strategy, more to come soon!





- Each L1Topo board has 2 FPGAs
- Each FPGA receives a subset of physics objects
- Choosing which FPGA to sit on determines which objects we can use for GELATO L1





**Algorithm Details**