2nd Short-Baseline Experiment-Theory Workshop Santa Fe, NM

3 April, 2024

Radiative corrections to neutrinonucleon/nucleus cross sections



Oleksandr (Sasha) Tomalak LA-UR-24-22363

CCQE. Why should we care?



CCQE scattering on free nucleon



neutrino energy $E_{
u}$ momentum transfer $Q^2 = -q^2$

contact interaction at GeV energies

- assuming isospin symmetry, nucleon current:

$$\Gamma^{\mu}(Q^{2}) = \langle p | \bar{u} (\gamma^{\mu} - \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5}) d | n \rangle$$

$$\Gamma^{\mu}(Q^{2}) = \gamma^{\mu} F_{D}^{V}(Q^{2}) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{2M} F_{P}^{V}(Q^{2}) + \gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}F_{A}(Q^{2}) + \frac{q^{\mu}}{M}\gamma_{5}F_{P}(Q^{2})$$

form factors: isovector Dirac and Pauli axial and pseudoscalar $F_{D,P}^V = F_{D,P}^p - F_{D,P}^n$

tree-level amplitude

$$T = \frac{G_F V_{ud}}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\ell}(k')\gamma_\mu (1-\gamma_5) \nu_\ell(k))(\bar{p}(p')\Gamma^\mu(Q^2)n(p))$$

CCQE scattering on free nucleon



$$A = \tau \left(G_{M}^{V}\right)^{2} - \left(G_{E}^{V}\right)^{2} + (1+\tau)F_{A}^{2} - r^{2}\left(\left(G_{M}^{V}\right)^{2} + F_{A}^{2} - 4\tau F_{P}^{2} + 4F_{A}F_{P}\right)$$
$$B = \pm 4\tau F_{A}G_{M}^{V} \qquad C = \tau \left(G_{M}^{V}\right)^{2} + \left(G_{E}^{V}\right)^{2} + (1+\tau)F_{A}^{2}$$

pseudoscalar form factor contribution is suppressed by lepton mass
cross section is sensitive to both vector and axial contributions

Elastic scattering on free nucleon

- only 3 experiments performed with deuterium bubble chamber

direct access to form-factor shape

ANL 1982: 1737 events

BNL 1981: 1138 events

FNAL 1983: 362 events

world data: ~3200 events



Fermilab bubble chamber, Richard Drew

- axial form factor extracted based on electromagnetic structure

A.S. Meyer, M. Betancourt, R. Gran and R.J. Hill (2016)

MINERvA result with free protons

talk of K. McFarland - idea of scattering on molecular hydrogen realized !!!

 $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}p \to \mu^+ n$



Hydrogen fit

T. Cai et al., MINERvA Collaboration, Nature (2023), 614, 48-53

Deuterium fit — BBBA2007 fit — LQCD fit

Lattice QCD vs MINERvA

talk of R. Gupta

- PNDME 2023 axial-vector form factor as representative of lattice QCD



- $\lesssim 1\sigma$ agreement for each bin besides two at small Q²

- 2-3 σ tension between lattice QCD and deuterium data

- MINERvA hydrogen data consistent with LQCD and deuterium

O. T., Rajan Gupta, and Tanmoy Bhattacharya, Phys.Rev.D 108 (2023) 7, 074514

DUNE projections

- estimates for 700 kg of H in Straw Tube Tracker at near detector



order of magnitude improvement in axial form factor and radius
DUNE will probe vector form factors and isospin symmetry

Roberto Petti, O. T., and Richard J. Hill, letter in Phys. Rev. D 109 5 (2024)



O. T., Qing Chen, Richard J. Hill and Kevin S. McFarland, Nature Commun. 13 (2022), 1, 5286

Radiative corrections in charged-current

elastic scattering on free nucleons

O. T., Qing Chen, Richard J. Hill, Kevin S. McFarland and Clarence Wret editors suggestion in Phys. Rev. D (2022)



Electron vs muon jets

- electron flavor: factorization for radiation of collinear photons
- cone angle is defined to lepton direction
- photons of energy > 20 MeV, fixed energy in the cone



- flavor-dependent effect, same for $\nu_{\ell}n \rightarrow \ell^- p$ vs $\bar{\nu}_{\ell}p \rightarrow \ell^+ n$ - forward-peaked radiation for electron flavor - negligible radiation for muons with shifted peak position

Factorization approach

cross section is given by factorization formula

$$d\sigma \sim S\left(\frac{\Delta E}{\mu}\right) J\left(\frac{m_{\ell}}{\mu}\right) H\left(\frac{M}{\mu}\right)$$

- - determine hard function at hard scale by matching experiment or hadronic model to the theory with heavy nucleon
 - $\mathbf{T}^{m_{\mu}}$ - soft and collinear functions are evaluated perturbatively

SCET power expansion parameter

$$\lambda \sim \frac{m_{\mu}^2}{E_{\nu}^2} \sim (\Delta \theta)^2 \sim \frac{\Delta E}{E_{\nu}}$$

 $\ln \lambda \text{ enhancements}$
power corrections are large at lowest muon (anti)neutrino E

@ SBND

Hadronic model at GeV scale



- exchange of photon between the charged lepton and nucleons

- assume onshell form for each interaction with dipole form factors discussed for neutrino-nucleon scattering: Graczyk (2013)
- add self energy for charged particles
- reproduce soft and collinear regions of SCET

- best determination of hard function

Factorization approach

cross section is given by factorization formula

$$d\sigma \sim S\left(\frac{\Delta E}{\mu}\right) J\left(\frac{m_{\ell}}{\mu}\right) H\left(\frac{M}{\mu}\right)$$

- - determine hard function at hard scale by matching experiment or hadronic model to the theory with heavy nucleon
 - RGE evolution of the hard function to scales $\Delta E, m_\ell$

 $-m_{\mu}$

- soft and collinear functions are evaluated perturbatively
- calculate cross section at low energies accounting for all large logs m_e ep scattering with soft radiation only: Richard J. Hill (2016)
 - soft and collinear functions determined analytically
 hard function describes physics at GeV energies

Exclusive observables

- cancellation of uncertainties from hard function for e/μ and ratio to LO



- ratios: cancellation of uncertainty from hard function

Inclusive observables



- the same gauge-invariant model for the real radiation

- arbitrary hard photons are part of the observable



Inclusive observables

- kinematics $Q^2 = 2M (E_{\nu} - E_X)$ is reconstructed with 3 different E_X



- dependence on reconstruction of kinematics and cuts - precise prediction for ratios $\sigma_{\nu_{\mu}}/\sigma_{\nu_{\mu}}$

Radiation of hard photons

- model-dependent description for radiation of hard photons



- 10⁻⁴ flavor misidentification rate for NOvA&T2K kinematics

Conclusions



radiative corrections in EFT framework

 radiative corrections to neutrino-nucleon cross sections formulated in factorization framework

 charged-current elastic electron vs muon cross-section ratios evaluated from theory with sub-percent uncertainty

QED medium effects



- charged lepton exchanges photons with nuclear medium

SCET_G formulation

- forward scattering is dominant process
- Glauber photons exchanged with a nuclear charge distribution



- change: integral along final lepton direction over charge and potential

$$\delta \sigma_f \sim \int_{\text{lepton line}}^{\text{final}} \rho\left(z\right) \mathrm{d}z \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \vec{q_\perp}}{\left(2\pi\right)^2} |v\left(\vec{q_\perp}\right)|^2 \left(\sigma_0\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}' - \vec{q_\perp}\right) - \sigma_0\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}'\right)\right)$$

leading-order cross sections are distorted
 EFT and full QED calculations are performed

Neutrino scattering

IR regularization

 $v\left(q_{\perp}^{2}\right) = \frac{e^{2}}{q_{\perp}^{2} + \lambda^{2}}$



flavor-independent at GeV energies

- relative correction per nucleon

- permille-level distortion of cross sections: $O(\alpha^2)$ correction - smaller correction to inclusive cross section

Antineutrino scattering



- relative correction per nucleon





flavor-independent at GeV energies

- permille-level distortion of cross sections: $O(\alpha^2)$ correction - larger correction than for neutrino scattering

SCET_G formulation

- forward scattering is dominant process
- Glauber photons exchanged with a nuclear charge distribution
- add initial-state exchanges, no interference with final-state exchanges
- change: integral along initial lepton direction over charge and potential

$$\delta \sigma_i \sim \int_{\text{lepton line}} \rho(z) \, \mathrm{d}z \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \vec{q}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} |v(\vec{q}_\perp)|^2 \left(\sigma_0 \left(\vec{k} + \vec{q}_\perp, \vec{k}' \right) - \sigma_0 \left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}' \right) \right)$$

- change: integral along final lepton direction over charge and potential

$$\delta \sigma_f \sim \int_{\text{lepton line}}^{\text{nnal}} \rho\left(z\right) \mathrm{d}z \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \vec{q}_{\perp}}{\left(2\pi\right)^2} |v\left(\vec{q}_{\perp}\right)|^2 \left(\sigma_0\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}' - \vec{q}_{\perp}\right) - \sigma_0\left(\vec{k}, \vec{k}'\right)\right)$$

leading-order cross sections are distorted
EFT and full QED agree above the lepton mass scale

Electron scattering

- relative correction per nucleus after incoherent sum over nucleons



O. T. and Ivan Vitev, Phys. Lett. B 805, 135466 (2022)

- percent-level at low momentum transfers: $O(\alpha^2)$ correction - critical new effect for electron scattering experiments

QED medium effects



- >10000 interactions along the lepton trajectory resumed

Broadening of electron tracks

- multiple re-scattering generates transverse momentum



- Glauber exchange induces 10-30 MeV transverse momentum

Broadening of electron tracks

- r. m. s. deflection angle after multiple rescattering



- nucleus approximated as sphere of constant density

- sizable deflection of electron tracks $\sqrt{\langle (\Delta \theta)^2 \rangle} \sim 1/E$

Effect on unpolarized cross section

- initial and final re-scattering is taken into account

- momentum transfer from electron kinematics



- nucleus approximated as sphere of constant density

- percent-level electron-nucleus cross-section suppression

Effect on unpolarized cross section



- nucleus approximated as sphere of constant density

- neutrino-nucleus: percent-level at kinematic endpoints

Effect on unpolarized cross section



- nucleus approximated as sphere of constant density

- antineutrino-nucleus: percent-level at kinematic endpoints

QED medium-induced radiation



- >10000 interactions along the lepton trajectory resumed

QED medium-induced radiation

broadening with radiation: p_T spectrum is multiplied with soft (collinear) function in vacuum for observables including soft (collinear) photons

soft (collinear) functions in vacuum:

O. T., Qing Chen, Richard J. Hill and Kevin S. McFarland, Nature Commun. 13 (2022), 1, 5286 O. T., Qing Chen, Richard J. Hill, Kevin S. McFarland and Clarence Wret editors suggestion in Phys. Rev. D (2022)

relative cross-section correction at each order in expansion on number of re-scatterings: the same for soft, collinear, and no-radiation cases

vanishing spectrum of soft or collinear medium-induced photons O. T. and Ivan Vitev, Phys. Rev. D XXX X, X (2024)

- separation of scales: exact resummation of medium effects



virtual corrections at 1st order in opacity: $SCET_G$ and full QED broadening and radiation: $SCET_G$

verified: SCET_G works perfectly at GeV energies

Cross sections at 600 MeV beam energy

1st order in opacity 40 ⁵⁴₂₆Fe $^{208}_{82}$ Pb $e \; N \to e \; N$ $E_e = 600 \text{ MeV}$ 30 30 $\delta\sigma_e/\sigma_e, \%_o$ $\delta\sigma_e/\sigma_e,\,\%_o$ 20 20 10 10 0 0 0.2 0.2 0.4 0.4 0 0.6 0 0.6 $Q^2 [GeV^2]$ $Q^2 [GeV^2]$ ²⁰⁸₈₂Pb ⁵⁴₂₆Fe $\nu_{\mu} \, n \to \mu^{\bar{}} \, p$ 4 -4 $E_{v_{11}} = 600 \text{ MeV}$ $\delta\sigma_{\nu_{\mu}}/\sigma_{\nu_{\mu}},\%$ $\delta\sigma_{\nu_{\mu}}/\sigma_{\nu_{\mu}},\%$ 2 2 0 0 0.2 0.2 0.4 0.4 0.6 0.6 0 0 $Q^2 [GeV^2]$ $Q^2 [GeV^2]$

- QED and SCET_G significantly differ at 100 of MeV energy

Conclusions formulation of QED nuclear medium effects

virtual corrections at 1st order in opacity: $SCET_G$ and full QED broadening and radiation: $SCET_G$

verified: SCET_G works perfectly at GeV energies but not for 100th MeV \blacksquare

found: a) sizable deflection of charged lepton tracks
b) multiple rescattering: %-level corrections at GeV energies
c) vanishing nuclear medium-induced photon energy spectra
d) radiation sizably (~10-20 %) modifies broadening

Thanks for your attention !!!